REMARKS ON THE INHOMOGENEOUS FRACTIONAL NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

TAREK SAANOUNI

Abstract. Using a sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality, well-posedness issues of the initial value problem for a fractional inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation are investigated.

arXiv:1602.04451v1 [math-ph] 14 Feb 2016

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Main results and background 3
2.1. Main results 3
2.2. Tools 5
3. Proof of Theorem 2.1 6
4. Proof of Theorem 2.2 7
5. Proof of Theorem 2.3 8
6. Well-posedness 8
6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.4 8
6.2. Proof of Proposition 2.5 8
7. Proof of Theorem 2.7 9
7.1. Existence of ground state 9
7.2. Stability of ground state 12
8. Proof of Theorem 2.8 13
9. Appendix 14
References 15

1. Introduction

Consider the initial value problem for an inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\dot{u} - (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + \epsilon |x|^{\gamma} u |u|^{p-1} = 0; \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

which models various physical contexts in the description of nonlinear waves such as propagation of a laser beam and plasma waves. For example, when $\gamma = 0$, it arises in nonlinear optics, plasma physics and fluid mechanics [2, 3]. When $\gamma > 0$, it can be thought of as

Date: February 16, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation, inhomogeneous, global Existence, sharp constants,...

T. Saanouni is grateful to the Laboratory of PDE and Applications at the Faculty of Sciences of Tunis.

modeling inhomogeneities in the medium. The nonlinearity enters due to the effect of changes in the field intensity on the wave propagation characteristics of the medium and the nonlinear weight can be looked as the proportional to the electron density [18, 33, 38].

Here and hereafter $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $N \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the inhomogeneous exponent $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(t,x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$. The following quantities are called respectively mass and energy are conserved under the flow of the problem (1.1).

$$M(t) = M(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(t)|^2 \, dx;$$

$$E(t) = E(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(\frac{1}{2}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(t)|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{1+p}|x|^\gamma |u(t)|^{1+p}\Big) dx.$$

If $\epsilon = -1$, the energy is positive and (1.1) is said to be defocusing, otherwise it is focusing and a control of the $\|\,.\,\|_{H^\alpha}$ norm of a local solution is no longer possible with the conserved laws.

In the classical case $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, for $1 < p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $1 < p < \infty$ if $N \in \{1, 2\}$, local well-posedness in the energy space holds [4, 5]. In the defocusing case, the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1) is global and scatters if $p > 1 + \frac{4}{N}$. In the focusing sign, the solution is global if $p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}$ or $p = 1 + \frac{4}{N}$ with small data [31]. Moreover, when $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, there exists ground state which is stable if $p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}$ and unstable if $p \geq 1 + \frac{4}{N}$ [31].

When $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the problem (1.1) is a nonlocal model known as nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation which has also attracted much attentions recently [9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 15, 16]. The fractional Schrödinger equation is a fundamental equation of fractional quantum mechanics, which was derived by Laskin [29, 30] as a result of extending the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian-like to Levy-like quantum mechanical paths. It is proved that the Cauchy problem is well-posed and scatters in the radial energy space [20, 21].

In the classical Laplacian case $\alpha = 1$, if $\gamma > 0$ the problem (1.1) was treated in [7], where well-posedness was discussed via potential-well method, a recent work [27] considers a similar problem with mixed power nonlinearity. If $\gamma < 0$, the stability issues for ground states were investigated by many authors [35, 14, 34, 17].

This note seems be the first one dealing with well-posedness issues for the inhomogeneous fractional Schrödinger equation.

The purpose of this manuscript is twice. First, some classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality is generalized to the inhomogeneous fractional case. Second, some analogue results to the classical Schrödinger case $\alpha = 1$ about global well-posedness of (1.1) are obtained in the radial case.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The second section is devoted to give the main results and some tools needed in the sequel. Sections three, four and five deal with some Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and it's best constant. The sixth section contains a proof of global well-posedness for the Schrödinger problem (1.1). Section seven deals with

existence and stability of ground states. The last section is about global well-posedness of the Schrödinger problem (1.1) in the focusing case using potential well method [36]. In the appendix, some compact Sobolev injection is proved.

Here and hereafter, C denotes a constant which may vary from line to line, $A \lesssim B$ denotes an estimate of the form $A \leq CB$ for some absolute constant C, $\int . \, dx := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} . \, dx$, $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Lebesgue space endowed with the norm $\| . \|_p := \| . \|_{L^p}$ and $\| . \| := \| . \|_2$. The classical fractional Sobolev space is $H^{\alpha,p} := (I-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} L^p$ and $H^\alpha := H^{\alpha,2}$ is the energy space endowed with the complete norm

$$\|u\|_{H^\alpha} := (\|u\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If $T > 0$ and X is an abstract functional space, $C_T(X) := C([0,T], X)$, $L^p_T(X) := L^p([0,T], X)$ and X_{rd} is the set of radial elements in X. Finally, for an eventual solution of (1.1), $T^* > 0$ denotes it's lifespan.

2. Main results and background

In this section we give the main results and some technical tools needed in the sequel. Here and hereafter, we denote, for $\phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}$, the so-called action

$$S(\phi) := E(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} M(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\|^2_{H^\alpha} - \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^\gamma |\phi|^{p+1} \, dx.$$

If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we define the scaling $\phi^\lambda_{a,b} := \lambda^a \phi(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda^b})$ and the operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,b} S(\phi) := \partial_\lambda (S(\phi^\lambda_{a,b}))_{|\lambda=1};$$
$$K_{a,b} := \mathcal{L}_{a,b} S \quad \text{and} \quad H_{a,b} := S - \frac{1}{2a+Nb} K_{a,b}.$$

We denote the functional defined on H^α_{rd} by

$$J(u) := \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|^B \|u\|^A}{\int |x|^\gamma |u|^{1+p} \, dx},$$

where

$$A := (1-\mu)(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}) = 1+p-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(N(p-1)-2\gamma);$$
$$B := \mu(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}) + \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\alpha}(N(p-1)-2\gamma);$$
$$\mu := \frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}).$$

2.1. Main results.

Results proved in this paper are listed in what follows. First, we derive an inhomogeneous Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $2 \leq p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} \leq \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)$, such that for any $u \in H^\alpha_{rd}$,*

$$\int |x|^\gamma |u|^{1+p} \, dx \leq C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha) \|u\|^A \|u\|^B_{\dot{H}^\alpha}. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, we are concerned with the best constant $C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)$ in the previous inequality.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $2 < p - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$. Then,*

$$\beta := \inf\Big\{J(u), \quad u \in H^\alpha_{rd}\Big\}$$

is attained in some $\psi \in H^\alpha_{rd}$ satisfying

$$B(-\Delta)^\alpha \psi + A\psi - \beta(p+1)|x|^\gamma \psi|\psi|^{p-1} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and $\beta = (\int |x|^\gamma |\psi|^{p+1}\,dx)^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $2 < p - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$. Then,*

$$C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha) = \frac{1+p}{A}\Big(\frac{A}{B}\Big)^{\frac{B}{2}} \|\phi\|^{-(p-1)},$$

where ϕ is a ground state solution to

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi + \phi - |x|^\gamma \phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1, well-posedness of the Schrödinger problem (1.1) in the energy space holds.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (\frac{N}{2N-1}, 1)$, $2 \leq p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} \leq \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$ and $u_0 \in H^\alpha_{rd}$. Then, there exists $T^* > 0$ and a unique maximal solution to* (1.1)*,*

$$u \in C([0,T^*), H^\alpha_{rd}).$$

Moreover,

(1) *$T^* = \infty$ in the defocusing case;*
(2) *the mass and the energy are conserved.*

In the mass subcritical case, the local solution given by the previous result is global.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (\frac{N}{2N-1}, 1)$, $2 \leq p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} \leq \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$ and $u_0 \in H^\alpha_{rd}$. Then, the solution given by the previous result is global if one of the next conditions holds.*

(1) *$B < 2$;*
(2) *$B = 2$ and $M(0) < \Big(\frac{p+1}{2C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}\Big)^{\frac{2}{A}}$.*

Now, we are concerned with the focusing case $\epsilon = 1$. Indeed, using the potential well method due to Payne-Sattinger [36], we extend the local solution with data in some stable sets to a global one. Here, we are reduced to prove that the fractional elliptic problem

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi + \phi - |x|^\gamma \phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}$$

has a ground state in the meaning that it has a nontrivial positive radial solution which minimizes the problem

$$m_{a,b} := \inf_{0\neq\phi\in H^\alpha_{rd}} \Big\{S(\phi),\ s.\ t. \quad K_{a,b}(\phi) = 0\Big\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us recall the stability of ground state.

Definition 2.6. *In the focusing case ($\epsilon = 1$), if ϕ is a ground state of* (1.1)*, then $e^{it}\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^\alpha)$ is a global solution to* (1.1) *called standing wave. This standing wave is said to be stable if*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0,\ \exists \delta > 0 \quad s.\ t. \quad \Big(u_0 \in U_\delta(\phi) \Rightarrow u(t) \in U_\varepsilon(\phi),\ \forall t \in (0,T^*)\Big),$$

where $u \in C_{T^*}(H^\alpha)$ is the solution of (1.1) *and*

$$U_\delta(\phi) := \Big\{ v \in H^\alpha_{rd} \quad s.\ t. \quad \inf_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|v - e^{i\theta}\phi\|_{H^\alpha} < \delta \Big\},$$

otherwise, it is unstable.

The next result guarantees the existence of ground state.

Theorem 2.7. *Take $\epsilon = 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, a couple of real numbers $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^*_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $2 < p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$. Then,*

1. *$m := m_{a,b}$ is nonzero and independent of (a,b);*
2. *there is a ground state solution to* (1.1) *in the following meaning*

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha \phi + \phi - |x|^\gamma \phi |\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}, \quad m = S(\phi); \tag{2.5}$$

3. *this ground state is orbitally stable if $B < 2$.*

Now, we give some invariant sets under the flow of (1.1), which yield to a global solution. We denote, for $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^*_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$, the set

$$A_{a,b} := \{\phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}, \quad \text{s. t} \quad S(\phi) < m_{a,b} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{a,b}(\phi) > 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Take $\epsilon = 1$. Let $\gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (\frac{N}{2N-1}, 1)$, $2 < p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$, $u_0 \in A_{a,b}$ and $u \in C([0,T^*), H^\alpha_{rd})$ the maximal solution to* (1.1)*. Then u is global and $u(t) \in A_{a,b}$ for any time $t \geq 0$.*

2.2. **Tools.** Let us collect some classical results needed along this manuscript. We start with some properties of the free Schrödinger kernel.

Proposition 2.9. *Denoting the free operator associated to the fractional Schrödinger equation*

$$T_\alpha(t)\phi := e^{it(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-it|y|^{2\alpha}}) * \phi,$$

yields

1. *$T_\alpha(t)u_0 - i\epsilon \int_0^t T_\alpha(t-s)[|x|^\gamma u|u|^{p-1}]\,ds$ is the solution to the problem* (1.1)*;*
2. *$(T_\alpha(t))^* = T_\alpha(-t)$;*
3. *$T_\alpha T_\beta = T_{\alpha+\beta}$;*
4. *$T_\alpha(t)$ is an isometry of L^2.*

Definition 2.10. *A couple of real numbers (q,r) such that $q,r \geq 2$ is said to be admissible if*

$$\frac{4N+2}{2N-1} \leq q \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2N-1}{r} \leq N - \frac{1}{2},$$

or

$$2 \leq q \leq \frac{4N+2}{2N-1}, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2N-1}{r} < N - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Recall the so-called Strichartz estimate [23].

Proposition 2.11. *Let $N \geq 2$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{N}{2N-1} < \alpha < 1$ and $u_0 \in H^\mu_{rd}$. Then*

$$\|u\|_{L^q_t(L^r)\cap L^\infty_t(\dot{H}^\mu)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\mu} + \|i\dot{u} - (-\Delta)^\alpha u\|_{L^{\tilde{q}'}_t(L^{\tilde{r}'})},$$

if (q,r) and $(\tilde{q},\tilde{r})$ are admissible pairs such that $(\tilde{q},\tilde{r},N) \neq (2,\infty,2)$ or $(q,r,N) \neq (2,\infty,2)$ and satisfy the condition

$$\frac{2\alpha}{q} + \frac{N}{r} = \frac{N}{2} - \mu, \quad \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{q}} + \frac{N}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{N}{2} + \mu.$$

Remark 2.12. *If we take $\mu = 0$ in the previous inequality, we obtain the classical Strichartz estimate.*

The following fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [25, 26] will be useful.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$ and $\theta := \frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$. Then*

$$\|u\|_p \lesssim \|u\|^{1-\theta} \|u\|^{\theta}_{\dot{H}^\alpha},$$

for any $u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We give also an estimate similar to Strauss [37] inequality in the fractional case [13].

Lemma 2.14. *Let $N \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{N}{2}$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} |x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha} |u(x)| \leq C(N,\alpha) \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|, \tag{2.6}$$

for any $u \in \dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where

$$C(N,\alpha) = \Big(\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha-1)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{2^{2\alpha}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma^2(\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-1+\alpha)} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and Γ is the Gamma function.

Sobolev injection [1, 32] gives a meaning to the energy and several computations done in this note.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $N \geq 2$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then*

1. *$H^\alpha \hookrightarrow L^q$ for any $q \in [2, \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}]$;*
2. *the following injection $H^\alpha_{rd} \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^q$ is compact for any $q \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha})$.*

Definition 2.16. *We define the space*

$$\Sigma := \{u \quad \text{radial and measurable in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad s.\ t. \quad u \in L^{1+p}(|x|^\gamma\, dx)\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\Sigma := \Big(\int |x|^\gamma |u(x)|^{1+p}\, dx \Big)^{\frac{1}{1+p}}.$$

Finally, we give a compact Sobolev injection known in the classical case [8, 28].

Lemma 2.17. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $2 < p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$. Then, the following injection is compact*

$$H^\alpha_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow \Sigma. \tag{2.7}$$

For the reader convenience, we give a proof in the appendix.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we prove the interpolation inequality (2.2). First, using Lemma 2.14, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int |x|^\gamma |u(x)|^{1+p}\, dx &= \int (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|u(x)|)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} |u(x)|^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}_{\dot{H}^\alpha} \int |u(x)|^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, thanks to Lemma 2.13, yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int |x|^\gamma |u(x)|^{1+p}\,dx &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot H^\alpha}^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \|u\|_{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\dot H^\alpha}^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} (\|u\|^{1-\mu}\|u\|_{\dot H^\alpha}^{\mu})^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|^{(1-\mu)(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha})} \|u\|_{\dot H^\alpha}^{\mu(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha})+\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is ended.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Using Theorem 2.1, there exists a sequence (v_n) in H^α_{rd} such that

$$\beta = \lim_n J(v_n).$$

Denoting for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the scaling $u^{a,b} := au(b.)$, we compute

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^{a,b}\|^2 = a^2 b^{2\alpha-N} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|^2;$$

$$\|u^{a,b}\|^2 = a^2 b^{-N} \|u\|^2;$$

$$\int |x|^\gamma |u^{a,b}(x)|^{1+p}\,dx = a^{1+p} b^{-N-\gamma} \int |x|^\gamma |u|^{1+p}(x)\,dx.$$

It follows that

$$J(u^{a,b}) = J(u).$$

Now, we choose

$$\mu_n := \Big(\frac{\|v_n\|}{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_n\|}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n := \frac{\|v_n\|^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}-1}}{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_n\|^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}}.$$

Thus, $\psi_n := v_n^{\lambda_n,\mu_n}$ satisfies

$$\|\psi_n\| = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi_n\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \lim_n J(\psi_n).$$

Then, $\psi_n \rightharpoonup \psi$ in H^α_{rd} and using Sobolev injection (2.7), we get for a subsequence denoted also (ψ_n),

$$\int |x|^\gamma |\psi_n|^{1+p}\,dx \to \int |x|^\gamma |\psi|^{1+p}\,dx.$$

This implies that, when n goes to infinity

$$J(\psi_n) = \frac{1}{\int |x|^\gamma |\psi_n|^{1+p}\,dx} \to \frac{1}{\int |x|^\gamma |\psi|^{1+p}\,dx}.$$

Using lower semi continuity of the H^α norm, we get

$$\|\psi\| \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi\| \le 1.$$

Then, $J(\psi) < \beta$ if $\|\psi\|\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi\| < 1$, which implies that

$$\|\psi\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi\| = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\psi_n \to \psi \quad \text{in} \quad H^\alpha$$

and

$$\beta = J(\psi) = \frac{1}{\int |x|^\gamma |\psi|^{1+p}\,dx}.$$

The minimizer satisfies the Euler equation

$$\partial_\varepsilon J(\psi+\varepsilon\eta)_{|\varepsilon=0}=0,\quad \forall \eta\in C_0^\infty\cap H^\alpha_{rd}.$$

Hence ψ satisfies (2.3). This completes the proof.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Thanks to Theorem 2.2, we know that $C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)=\frac{1}{\beta}=\int|x|^\gamma|\psi(x)|^{1+p}\,dx$, where ψ is given in Theorem 2.2. Take, for $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$, the scaling $\psi=\phi^{a,b}:=a\phi(b.)$. Then, the fact that

$$B(-\Delta)^\alpha\psi+A\psi-\beta(p+1)|x|^\gamma\psi|\psi|^{p-1}=0,$$

implies that

$$Aa\Big(-\frac{B}{A}b^{2\alpha}(-\Delta)^\alpha\phi+\phi-\frac{\beta}{A}(p+1)a^{p-1}b^{-\gamma}|x|^\gamma\phi|\phi|^{p-1}\Big)=0.$$

Choosing

$$b=\Big(\frac{A}{B}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\quad\text{and}\quad a=\Big((\frac{A}{B})^{\frac{\gamma}{2\alpha}}\frac{A}{\beta(1+p)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

it follows that

$$-(-\Delta)^\alpha\phi+\phi-|x|^\gamma\phi|\phi|^{p-1}=0.$$

Now, since

$$\|\psi\|=1=ab^{-\frac{N}{2}}\|\phi\|,$$

we get

$$\beta=\frac{A}{1+p}(\frac{A}{B})^{-\frac{B}{2}}\|\phi\|^{p-1}.$$

The proof is closed.

6. Well-posedness

6.1. **Proof of Proposition 2.4.** The proof follows using Theorem 2.1 via Strichartz estimate in Proposition 2.11, the integral formula in Proposition 2.9 and a classical Picard fixed point method, arguing like [6, 23].

6.2. **Proof of Proposition 2.5.** Assume that $B<2$ or $B=2$ and $M(0)<\Big(\frac{p+1}{2C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}\Big)^{\frac{2}{A}}$. With contradiction, assume that $T^*=\infty$. Then

$$\limsup_{T^*}\|u(t)\|_{\dot H^\alpha}=\infty.$$

Write, using previous notations and Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}2E(t)&=\|u\|^2_{\dot H^\alpha}-\frac{2}{p+1}\int|x|^\gamma|u|^{1+p}\,dx\\&\geq\|u\|^2_{\dot H^\alpha}-\frac{2C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}{p+1}\|u\|^A\|u\|^B_{\dot H^\alpha}\\&\geq\|u\|^2_{\dot H^\alpha}\Big(1-\frac{2C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}{p+1}M(0)^{\frac{A}{2}}\|u\|^{B-2}_{\dot H^\alpha}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the infinite limit above.

7. Proof of Theorem 2.7

In this section we prove the existence of a ground state solution to (2.5) which is stable for some low range of the nonlinearity exponent. With a direct computation, yields, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\phi \in H^\alpha$,

$$K_{a,b}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(2a+Nb)\|\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2a+(N-\alpha)b)\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|^2 - (a+\frac{b(\gamma+N)}{1+p})\int |x|^\gamma|\phi|^{1+p}dx;$$

$$H_{a,b}(\phi) = \frac{\alpha b}{2(2a+Nb)}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|^2 + \frac{b\gamma+a(p-1)}{(1+p)(2a+Nb)}\int |x|^\gamma|\phi|^{1+p}dx.$$

Define, the quadratic and nonlinear parts of $K_{a,b}$ as follows

$$K^Q_{a,b}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(2a+Nb)\|\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2a+(N-\alpha)b)\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|^2, \quad K^N_{a,b} := K_{a,b} - K^Q_{a,b}.$$

Remark 7.1. *Note that, in this section*

1) $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+$;
2) *the proof of the Theorem 2.7 is based on several lemmas;*
3) *we write, for easy notation,* $\phi^\lambda := \phi^\lambda_{a,b}$, $K = K_{a,b}$, $K^Q = K^Q_{a,b}$, $K^N = K^N_{a,b}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ *and* $H = H_{a,b}$.

7.1. Existence of ground state.

Lemma 7.2. *Let* $0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}$, *then*

1) $min(\mathcal{L}H(\phi), H(\phi)) > 0$;
2) $\lambda \mapsto H(\phi^\lambda)$ *is increasing.*

Proof. Denoting $\underline{\mu} := 2a + Nb$ and $\bar{\mu} := \mu - \alpha b$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(H(\phi)) &= \mathcal{L}(1-\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\underline{\mu}})S(\phi)\\ &= \bar{\mu}H(\phi) + \frac{1}{\underline{\mu}}(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})(\underline{\mu}-\mathcal{L})S(\phi)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\underline{\mu}}(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})(\underline{\mu}-\mathcal{L})S(\phi).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|^2 = 0 = (\mathcal{L}-\underline{\mu})\|\phi\|^2$, we have $(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})(\mathcal{L}-\underline{\mu})\|\phi\|^2_{H^\alpha} = 0$. Then, with a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(H(\phi)) &\geq \frac{1}{\underline{\mu}}(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})(\underline{\mu}-\mathcal{L})S(\phi)\\ &= \frac{(a(p-1)+b\gamma)(a(p-1)+b\gamma+\alpha b)}{\underline{\mu}(p+1)}\int |x|^\gamma|u|^{p+1}\,dx\\ &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

The second point is a consequence of the equality $\partial_\lambda H(\phi^\lambda) = \mathcal{L}H(\phi^\lambda)$. ∎

The next auxiliary result reads.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that* $\gamma \geq 0$, $2a+(N-\alpha)b \neq 0$ *and take* (ϕ_n) *a bounded sequence of* $H^\alpha_{rd} - \{0\}$ *satisfying* $\lim_{n\to+\infty} K^Q(\phi_n) = 0$. *Then, there exists* $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $K(\phi_n) > 0$ *for all* $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. Since $a, b \geq 0$, $2a + (N-\alpha)b \neq 0$ and

$$K^Q(\phi_n) = \frac{2a+(N-\alpha)b}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi_n\|^2 + \frac{(2a+Nb)}{2}\|\phi_n\|^2 \geq C\|\phi_n\|^2_{H^\alpha},$$

we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\phi_n\|_{H^\alpha} = 0.$$

Taking into account of Theorem 2.1 and the fact that $2 < 1 + p - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{N+2\alpha}{N-2\alpha}$, we get

$$K^N(\phi_n) \lesssim \|\phi_n\|^{1+p}_{H^\alpha} = o(K^Q(\phi_n)).$$

It follows that, when n goes to infinity

$$K(\phi_n) \simeq K^Q(\phi_n) > 0.$$

The proof is finished. ∎

The last intermediary result is the following.

Lemma 7.4. *We have*

$$m_{a,b} = \inf_{0\neq\phi\in H^\alpha_{rd}} \Big\{H(\phi),\ s.t.\quad K(\phi) \leq 0\Big\}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $m_{a,b} \leq m_1$, where m_1 is the right hand side of the previous equality. Take $\phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}$ such that $K(\phi) < 0$. Because $2a + (N-\alpha)b \neq 0$, by the previous lemma, the facts that $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} K^Q(\phi^\lambda) = 0$ and $\lambda \mapsto H(\phi^\lambda)$ is increasing, there exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that

$$K(\phi^\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(\phi^\lambda) \leq H(\phi). \tag{7.8}$$

Then, $m_{a,b} \leq H(\phi^\lambda) \leq H(\phi)$. This ends the proof. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.7. The proof contains four steps.
Step 1. A minimizing sequence is bounded in H^α_{rd}.
Let (ϕ_n) be a minimizing sequence of (2.4), namely

$$0 \neq \phi_n \in H^\alpha_{rd}, \quad K(\phi_n) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_n H(\phi_n) = \lim_n S(\phi_n) = m. \tag{7.9}$$

• First case $b \neq 0$. Since, when n tends to infinity

$$\frac{\alpha b}{2(2a+Nb)}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi_n\|^2 \leq H(\phi_n) \to m,$$

we get

$$\sup_n \|\phi_n\|_{\dot H^\alpha} \lesssim 1.$$

Assume that $\lim_n \|\phi_n\| = \infty$. Using the equality $K(\phi_n) = 0$ and Theorem 2.1, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_n\|^2 &\lesssim \frac{2a+(N-2)b}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi_n\|^2 + \frac{2a+(N-\alpha)b}{2}\|\phi_n\|^2 \\
&= \frac{a(p+1)+(N+\gamma)b}{p+1}\int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{p+1}\,dx \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_n\|^A \|\phi_n\|^B_{\dot H^\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_n\|^A.
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $A = \frac{N}{\alpha} + (1 + p - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha})(1 - \frac{N}{2\alpha}) > 2$ leads to a contradiction in the last inequality if letting $n \mapsto +\infty$. Then (ϕ_n) is bounded in H^α_{rd}.

• Second case $b = 0$.
In this case (ϕ_n) is bounded in H^α_{rd} because

$$\|\phi_n\|^2_{H^\alpha} = \int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{p+1}\, dx \lesssim H(\phi_n) \to m.$$

Step 2. The weak limit of (ϕ_n) is nonzero.
Using the first step, via compact Sobolev injection in Lemma 2.15, for a subsequence, still denoted by (ϕ_n), we have

$$\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi \quad \text{weakly in} \quad H^\alpha_{rd} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n \to \phi \quad \text{in} \quad L^q, \quad \text{for any} \quad 2 < q < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}.$$

We prove that $\phi \neq 0$. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $\phi = 0$. Since $2 < p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha} < \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}$, using the estimate (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K^Q(\phi_n) &= K^N(\phi_n) \\ &\lesssim \int (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|\phi_n(x)|)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} |\phi_n|^{p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}\, dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\phi_n|^{p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}\, dx \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2a + (N-\alpha)b > 0$, thanks to lemma 7.3, there exists n_0 such that $K(\phi_n) > 0$, for all $n > n_0$, which contradicts the fact that $K(\phi_n) = 0$. This implies that $\phi \neq 0$.
Step 3. ϕ is a minimizer and $m > 0$.
We have the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n - \phi|^{p+1}\, dx &\lesssim \int (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|\phi_n - \phi|)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} |\phi_n - \phi|^{p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}\, dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\phi_n - \phi|^{p+1-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}\, dx \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

With the lower semi-continuity of H^α_{rd} norm, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \liminf_n K(\phi_n) &\geq \frac{2a+(N-\alpha)b}{2} \liminf_n \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi_n\|^2 + \frac{2a+Nb}{2} \liminf_n \|\phi_n\|^2 \\ &- \frac{a(p+1)+(N+\gamma)b}{p+1} \lim_n \int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{p+1}\, dx \\ &\geq \frac{2a+Nb}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + \frac{2a+(N-\alpha)b}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|^2 \\ &- \frac{a(p+1)+(N+\gamma)b}{p+1} \int |x|^\gamma |\phi|^{p+1}\, dx \\ &= K(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Fatou lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq \liminf_n H(\phi_n) \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha b}{2(2a+(N+\gamma)b)} \liminf_n \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi_n\|_2^2 + \frac{a(p-1)}{(p+1)(2a+Nb)} \liminf_n \int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{p+1}\, dx \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha b}{2(2a+(N+\gamma)b)} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|_2^2 + \frac{a(p-1)}{(p+1)(2a+Nb)} \int |x|^\gamma |\phi|^{p+1}\, dx \\ &= H(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ satisfies

$$0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}, \quad K(\phi) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(\phi) \leq m.$$

By (7.8), we can assume that ϕ is a minimizer satisfying

$$0 \neq \phi \in H^\alpha_{rd}, \quad K(\phi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S(\phi) = H(\phi) = m.$$

Moreover

$$H(\phi) = \frac{\alpha b}{2(2a+Nb)}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\|_2^2 + \frac{a(p-1)+b\gamma}{(p+1)(2a+Nb)}\int |x|^\gamma |\phi|^{p+1}\,dx > 0.$$

Thus

$$m > 0.$$

Step 4. ϕ is a ground state solution to (2.5).
Since ϕ satisfies (2.4), there is a Lagrange multiplier $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $S'(\phi) = \eta K'(\phi)$. Then

$$0 = K(\phi) = \mathcal{L}S(\phi) =< S'(\phi), \mathcal{L}(\phi) >= \eta < K'(\phi), \mathcal{L}(\phi) >= \eta\mathcal{L}^2 S(\phi).$$

Moreover, with previous computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}^2 S(\phi) - \bar{\mu}\underline{\mu}S(\phi) &= -(\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mu})(\mathcal{L}-\underline{\mu})S(\phi) \\ &= \frac{(a(p-1)+b\gamma)(a(p-1)+\alpha b+b\gamma)}{p+1}\int |x|^\gamma |u|^{p+1}\,dx \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $S(\phi) > 0$, it follows that $\eta = 0$ and $S'(\phi) = 0$. Finally, ϕ is a ground state and m is independent of (a, b). ∎

7.2. **Stability of ground state.** The proof proceeds by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a sequence $u_0^n \in H^\alpha$ such that, when n goes to infinity

$$\|u_n^0 - e^{it_n}\phi\|_{H^\alpha} \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|u_n(t_n) - e^{i\theta}\phi\|_{H^\alpha} > \varepsilon_0$$

for some sequence of positive real numbers (t_n) and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, where $u_n \in C([0, T^*), H^\alpha)$ is the solution to (1.1) with data u_n^0. Let us denote $\phi_n := u_n(t_n)$. Because ϕ is a ground state to (1.1), we have

$$S(\phi) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\| := q > 0.$$

Thus

$$\|u_0^n\| \to q \quad \text{and} \quad S(u_0^n) \to m.$$

Indeed, by Theorem 2.1, yields

$$\int |x|^\gamma |u_0^n - \phi|^{1+p}\,dx \lesssim \|u_0^n - \phi\|_{H^\alpha}^{1+p} \to 0.$$

Using the conservation laws, it follows that

$$\|\phi_n\| \to q \quad \text{and} \quad S(\phi_n) \to m.$$

If ϕ_n has a subsequence converging to $\phi \in H^\alpha$, then

$$\varepsilon_0 < \inf_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|\phi_n - e^{i\theta}\phi\|_{H^\alpha} \leq \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{H^\alpha} \to 0.$$

This contradiction shows that it is sufficient to prove that any sequence $\phi_n \in H^\alpha$ satisfying

$$\|\phi_n\| \to q \quad \text{and} \quad S(\phi_n) \to m$$

is relatively compact. We have

$$S(\phi_n) = \frac{1}{2}\|\phi_n\|_{H^\alpha}^2 - \frac{1}{1+p}\int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{1+p}\,dx \to m,$$

so for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and for large n,

$$\begin{aligned} m+\varepsilon &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|\phi_n\|_{H^\alpha}^2 - \frac{1}{1+p}\int |x|^\gamma |\phi_n|^{1+p}\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|\phi_n\|_{H^\alpha}^2\Big(1 - \frac{C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}{1+p}\|\phi_n\|^A \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^\alpha}^{B-2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B \leq 2$, it follows that ϕ_n is bounded in H^α. This finishes the proof.

8. Proof of Theorem 2.8

The proof is based on two auxiliary results.

Lemma 8.1. *The set $A_{a,b}$ is invariant under the flow of* (1.1).

Proof. Let $u_0 \in A_{a,b}$ and $u \in C_{T^*}(H^\alpha)$ be the maximal solution to (1.1). Assume that $u(t_0) \notin A_{a,b}^+$ for some time $t_0 \in (0, T^*)$. Since the energy and the mass are conserved, we get $K_{a,b}(u(t_0)) < 0$. So, with a continuity argument, there exists a positive time $t_1 \in (0, t_0)$ such that $K_{a,b}(u(t_1)) = 0$. This contradicts the definition of m and finishes the proof. ∎

Lemma 8.2. *The set $A_{a,b}$ is independent of the couple (a,b).*

Proof. Let (a,b) and (a',b') in $\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We denote, for $\delta \geq 0$, the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_{a,b}^{+\delta} &:= \{v \in H^\alpha \quad \text{s. t.} \quad S(v) < m - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad K_{a,b}(v) \geq 0\}; \\ A_{a,b}^{-\delta} &:= \{v \in H^\alpha \quad \text{s. t.} \quad S(v) < m - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad K_{a,b}(v) < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.7, the reunion $A_{a,b}^{+\delta} \cup A_{a,b}^{-\delta}$ is independent of (a,b). So, it is sufficient to prove that $A_{a,b}^{+\delta}$ is independent of (a,b). If $S(v) < m$ and $K_{a,b}(v) = 0$, then $v = 0$. So, $A_{a,b}^{+\delta}$ is open. The rescaling $v^\lambda := \lambda^a v(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda^b})$ implies that a neighborhood of zero is in $A_{a,b}^{+\delta}$. Moreover, this rescaling with $\lambda \to 0$ gives that $A_{a,b}^{+\delta}$ is contracted to zero and so it is connected. Now, write

$$A_{a,b}^{+\delta} = A_{a,b}^{+\delta} \cap (A_{a',b'}^{+\delta} \cup A_{a',b'}^{-\delta}) = (A_{a,b}^{+\delta} \cap A_{a',b'}^{+\delta}) \cup (A_{a,b}^{+\delta} \cap A_{a',b'}^{-\delta}).$$

Since by the definition, $A_{a,b}^{-\delta}$ is open and $0 \in A_{a,b}^{+\delta} \cap A_{a',b'}^{+\delta}$, using a connectivity argument, we have $A_{a,b}^{+\delta} = A_{a',b'}^{+\delta}$. The proof is ended. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Using Lemma 8.1 via a translation argument, we can assume that $u(t) \in A_{a,b}^+$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. Moreover, thanks to the Lemma 8.2, we have $u(t) \in A_{1,1}^+$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. Taking account of the definition of m, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m &> S(u(t)) \\ &> S(u(t)) - \frac{1}{2+N}K_{1,1}(u(t)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(2+N)}\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u(t)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma+p-1}{(1+p)(2+N)}\int |x|^\gamma |u(t)|^{1+p}\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, via the conservation of the mass, that

$$\sup_{[0,T^*]} \|u(t)\|_{H^\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then, u is global.

9. Appendix

This section contains a proof of Lemma 2.17. We suppose that $\gamma > 0$, indeed $\gamma < 0$ follows similarly and the case $\gamma = 0$ is covered by Lemma 2.15.
Take (u_n) a bounded sequence of H^α_{rd}. Without loss of generality, we assume that (u_n) converges weakly to zero in H^α. Our purpose is to prove that $\|u_n\|_\Sigma \to 0$. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and write

$$\int |x|^\gamma |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx = \Big(\int_{|x|\le\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} + \int_{|x|\ge\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\Big)|x|^\gamma |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx.$$

Since $\gamma > 0$, taking account of Lemma 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|\le\varepsilon} |x|^\gamma |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx &\le \varepsilon^\gamma \int |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx\\ &\le \varepsilon^\gamma \|u_n\|^{1+p}_{H^\alpha}\\ &\le C\varepsilon^\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, with Strauss inequality, via the fact that $-\gamma + (p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha) > 0$ bcause $\alpha < \frac{N}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|\ge\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |x|^\gamma |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx &= \int_{|x|\ge\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|u_n|)^{-1+p}|x|^{\gamma-(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}|u_n|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\|u_n\|^{p-1}_{\dot H^\alpha} \int_{|x|\ge\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |x|^{\gamma-(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}|u_n|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\|u_n\|^{p+1}_{H^\alpha}\varepsilon^{-\gamma+(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}\\ &\le C\varepsilon^{-\gamma+(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Rellich Theorem, it follows that when $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |u_n|^2\,dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |x|^\gamma |u_n|^{1+p}\,dx &= \int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|u_n|)^{-1+p}|x|^{\gamma-(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}|u_n|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\|u_n\|^{p-1}_{\dot H^\alpha} \int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |x|^{\gamma-(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}|u_n|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\|u_n\|^{p-1}_{H^\alpha}\varepsilon^{-\gamma+(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)} \int_{\varepsilon\le|x|\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |u_n|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\varepsilon^{-\gamma+(p-1)(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is achieved when taking ε tending to zero and n going to infinity.

References

[1] **D. R. Adams**, *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press, (1975).

[2] **G. D. Akrivis, V. A. Dougalis, O. A. Karakashian and W. R Mckinney**, *Numerical approximation of singular solution of the damped nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, ENUMATH 97 (Heidelberg), World Scientific River Edge, NJ, 117-124, (1998).

[3] **I. V. Barashenkov, N. V. Alexeeva and E. V. Zemlianaya**, *Two and three dimensional oscillons in nonlinear Faradey resonance*, Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol. 89, no. 10, (2002).

[4] **J. Bourgain**, *Global well-posedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 12, no. 1, 145-171, (1991).

[5] **J. Bourgain**, *Global solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 46. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, (1991).

[6] **T. Cazenave**, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Vol. 10, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical sciences, New York, (2003).

[7] **J. Chen**, *On the inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential and unbounded coefficient*, Czech Math Journal, Vol. 60, no. 3, 715-736, (2012).

[8] **J. Chen and B. Guo**, *Sharp constant of improved Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and its application*, Annali di Matematica, Vol. 190, 341-354, (2011).

[9] **Y. Cho, H. Hajaiej, G. Hwang and T. Ozawa**, *On the Cauchy problem of fractional Schrödinger equation with Hartree type nonlinearity*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 56, 193-224, (2013).

[10] **Y. Cho, H. Hajaiej, G. Hwang and T. Ozawa**, *On the orbital stability of fractional Schrödinger equations*, Comm on Pure and App Anal (CPAA), Vol. 13, no. 3, 1267-1282, Volume 13, (2014).

[11] **Y. Cho, G. Hwang, S. Kwon and S. Lee**, *On the finite time blowup for mass-critical Hartree equations*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, Vol. 145, no. 03, 467-479, (2015).

[12] **Y. Cho, G. Hwang, S. Kwon and S. Lee**, *Profile decompositions and Blowup phenomena of mass critical fractional Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 8, no. 6, 12-29, (2013).

[13] **Y. Cho and T. Ozawa**, *Sobolev inequalities with symmetry*. Commun. Contemp. Math. Vol. 11, 355-365, (2009).

[14] **G. Fibich and X. P. Wang**, *Stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearity*, Phys. D. 175, 96-108, (2003).

[15] **R. L. Frank and E. Lenzmann**, *Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for* $(\Delta)^s Q + Q - Q^{\alpha+1} = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$, arXiv:1009.4042v2 [math.AP], (2015).

[16] **R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre**, *Uniqueness of Radial Solutions for the Fractional Laplacian*, to appear in Comm. Pur. Appl. Math.

[17] **R. Fukuizumi and M. Ohta**, *Instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearities*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. Vol. 45, 145-158, (2005).

[18] **T. S. Gill**, *Optical guiding of laser beam in nonuniform plasma*, Pramana Journal of Physics, Vol. 55, 845-852, (2000).

[19] **B. Guo, Y. Han and J. Xin**, *Existence of the global smooth solution to the period boundary value problem of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Appl. Math. Comput. 204, no. 1, 468-477, (2008).

[20] **B. Guo and D. Huang**, *Existence and stability of standing waves for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. 53, 083702, (2012).

[21] **B. Guo and Z. Huo**, *Global well-posedness for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations. Vol. 36, no. 2 , 247-255, (2010).

[22] **B. Guo and Z. Huo**, *Well-posedness for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation and inviscid limit behavior of solution for the fractional Ginzburg-Landau equation*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, Vol. 16, no. 1, 226-242, (2013).

[23] **Z. Guo and Y. Wang**, *Improved Strichartz estimates for a class of dispersive equations in the radial case and their applications to nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, Vol. 124, no. 1, 1-38, (2014).

[24] **Z. Guo, Y. Sire, Y. Wang and L. Zhao**, *On the energy-critical fractional Schrödinger equation in the radial case*, arXiv:1310.6816v1 [math.AP], (2013).

[25] **H. Hajaiej, X. Yu and Z. Zhai**, *Fractional GagliardoNirenberg and Hardy inequalities under Lorentz norms*, to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl.

[26] **H. Hajaiej, L. Molinet, T. Ozawa and B .Wan**, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier-Stokes and generalized boson equations*, arXiv:1004.4287v3 [math.FA], (2011).
[27] **H. Hezzi, A. Marzouk and T. Saanouni**, *A Note on the inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation with mixed power nonlinearity*, Communications in Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 18, no. 2, 3655, (2015).
[28] **O. Kavian and F. B. Weissler**, *Self-similar solutions of the pseudo-conformally invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Michigan Math. J. Vol. 41, no. 1, 151-173, (1994).
[29] **N. Laskin**, *Fractional Quantum Mechanics and Lévy Path Integrals*, Physics Letters 268 A , 298-304, (2000).
[30] **N. Laskin**, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Physical Review E66: 056108, (2002).
[31] **S. Le Coz**, *A note on Berestycki-Cazenave classical instability result for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. Vol. 8, no. 3, 455-463, (2008).
[32] **P. L. Lions**, *Symetrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev*, J. Funct. Anal. Vol. 49, 315-334, (1982).
[33] **C. S. Liu and V. K. Tripathi**, *Laser guiding in an axially nonuniform plasma channel*, Phys. Plasmas, Vol. 1, 3100-3103, (1994).
[34] **Y. Liu, X. P. Wang and K. Wang**, *Instability of standing waves of the Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 358, 2105-2122, (2006).
[35] **F. Merle**, *Nonexistence of minimal blow up solutions of equations* $iu_t = -\Delta u - K(x)|u|^{4/N}u$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor. Vol. 64, 3385, (1996).
[36] **L. E. Payne and D. H. Sattinger**, *Saddle Points and Instability of Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations*, Israel Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 22, 273-03, (1975).
[37] **W. Strauss**, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. Vol. 55, no. 2, 149-162, (1977).
[38] **T. Tsurumi and M. Waditi**, *Collapses of wave functions in multidimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations under harmonic potential*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, Vol. 66, 3031-3034, (1997).

University of Tunis El Manar, Faculty of Science of Tunis, LR03ES04 partial differential Equations and applications, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia.
E-mail address: Tarek.saanouni@ipeiem.rnu.tn